

**RISK ASSESSMENT
FOR
ENCROACHMENT DESIGN**

Date 1-11-17
District 2
County Polk
Vicinity of 2.5 mi. W of
Jct. TH 75
Sec. 26 T 147 N R 49 W

DATA REQUIREMENTS

1. Location of Crossing: Roadway CSAH 1 C.S. _____ M.P. _____
2. Name of Stream: Red River of the North Bridge No. Old: 5767 New: _____
3. Current ADT 271(2017); Projected ADT 299(2037)
4. Type of Traffic:
 - a. Practicable detour available No _____ Yes XIf no is checked, please explain: _____

If there is no practicable detour available, then the use of the road must be analyzed. Considerations such as emergency vehicle access, emergency supply and evacuation route, and the need for school bus, milk and mail routes should be studied. Factors to consider for this analysis include design frequency, depth, duration, and frequency of inundation if appropriate, and available funding.

5. Hydraulic Data: (Fill in as appropriate)

Approximate Flowline Elevation 802.0

$Q_2 =$	_____	TW ₂ Elevation	_____
$Q_7 =$	<u>27,000 cfs</u>	TW ₇ Elevation	<u>846.7</u>
$Q_{10} =$	<u>31,660 cfs</u>	TW ₁₀ Elevation	<u>848.6</u>
$Q_{25} =$	_____	TW ₂₅ Elevation	_____
$Q_{50} =$	<u>60,210 cfs</u>	TW ₅₀ Elevation	<u>858.7</u>
$Q_{100} =$	<u>75,130 cfs</u>	TW ₁₀₀ Elevation	<u>862.2</u>

Circle Design Frequency

Reasons for selecting Design Frequency: Roadway will begin to overtop during 7 year flood.

6. Magnitude and Frequency of smaller of "Overtopping" or "500 year" flood:

27,000 cfs 7 year frequency

7. Low member elevation 844.3

8. Minimum roadway overflow elevation if appropriate 846.7

9. Elevation of high risk property, i.e. residences None apparent in floodplain

Other buildings None apparent in floodplain

10. Horizontal location of overflow:

At structure _____ (See 12); Not at structure X

11. Type of proposed structure:

Bridge X (See 12); Culvert(s) _____

12. If the proposed structure is a bridge with the sag point located on the bridge and there is ice and debris potential, strong consideration should be given to using Q_{50} as design discharge with 3' of clearance between the 50 year tailwater stage and low member.

RISK ASSESSMENT

LTEC
DESIGN

1. BACKWATER DAMAGE - Major flood damage in this context refers to shopping centers, hospitals, chemical plants, power plants, housing developments, etc.

1a. Is the overtopping flood greater than the 100 year flood?

Yes _____(Go to 1b.); No X (Go to 1e.)

1b. Is the overtopping flood greater than the "greatest" flood (500 yr. frequency)?

Yes _____(Go to 1d.); No _____(Go to 1c.)

1c. Is there major flood damage potential for the overtopping flood?

No _____(Go to 1e.)

YES _____
(Go to 1e.)

1d. Is there major flood damage potential for the "greatest" flood (500 year frequency)?

No _____(Go to 1e.)

YES _____
(Go to 1e.)

1e. Will there be flood damage potential to residence(s) or other buildings during a 100 year flood?

Yes _____(Go to 1f.); No X (Go to 2)

1f. Could this flood damage occur even if the roadway crossing wasn't there?

Yes _____(Go to 1g.); No _____(Go to 1h.)

1g. Could this flood damage be significantly increased by the backwater caused by the proposed crossing?

Yes _____(Go to 1h.); No _____(Go to 2)

1h. Could the stream crossing be designed in such a manner so as to minimize this potential flood damage?

Yes _____(Go to 1i.); No _____(Go to 2)

1i. Does the value of the building(s) and/or its contents have sufficient value to justify further evaluation of risk and potential flood damage?

No _____

YES _____
(Go to 2)

2. TRAFFIC RELATED LOSSES

2a. Is the overtopping flood greater than the "greatest" flood (500 yr. frequency)?

Yes _____(Go to 3); No X (Go to 2b.)

2b. Does the ADT exceed 50 vehicles per day?

Yes X (Go to 2c.); No _____(Go to 3)

2c. Would the (duration of road closure in days) multiplied by the (length of detour minus the length of normal route in miles) exceed 20?

Yes X (Go to 2d.); No _____(Go to 3)

2d. Does the annual risk cost for traffic related costs exceed 10% of the annual capital costs?

No X (Go to 3) (See figures A and B for assistance)

YES _____
(Go to 3)

3. ROADWAY AND/OR STRUCTURE REPAIR COSTS

3a. Is the overtopping flood less than a 100 year frequency flood?

Yes (Go to 3b.); No (Go to 3i.)

3b. Compare the tailwater (TW) elevation with the roadway sag point elevation for the overtopping flood. Check the appropriate category.

When TW is above the sag point (Go to 4)

When TW is between 0 and .5 ft. below sag point (Go to 3c)

When TW is between .5 ft. and 1.0 ft. below sag point (Go to 3d)

When TW is between 1.0 ft. and 2.0 ft. below sag point (Go to 3e)

When TW is more than 2.0 ft. below sag point (Go to 3g)

3c. Does the embankment have a good erosion resistant vegetative cover?

Yes (Go to 3i.); No (Go to 3d.)

3d. Is the shoulder constructed from erosion resistant material such as paved, coarse gravel, or clay type soil?

Yes (Go to 3i.); No (Go to 3e.)

3e. Will the duration of overtopping for the 25 year flood exceed 1 hour?

Yes (Go to 3f.); No (Go to 3i.)

3f. Is the embankment constructed from erosion resistant material such as a clay type soil?

Yes (Go to 3i.); No (Go to 3g.)

3g. Is the overtopping flood less than a 25 year frequency flood?

Yes (Go to 3h.); No (Go to 3i.)

3h. Will the cost of protecting the roadway and/or embankment from severe damage caused by overtopping exceed the cost of providing additional culvert or bridge capacity?

No (Go to 3i.)

Yes
(Go to 3i.)

3i. Is there damage potential to the structure caused by scour, ice, debris or other means during the lesser of the overtopping flood or the 100 year flood?

Yes (Go to 3j.); No (Go to 4)

3j. Will the cost of protecting the structure from damage exceed the cost of providing additional culvert or bridge waterway capacity?

No (Go to 4)

Yes
(Go to 4)

LTEC
DESIGN

YES _____
(Go to 5)

YES _____
(Indicate)

4. Will the capital cost of the structure exceed \$1,000,000?
No X (Go to 5)
5. In your opinion, are there any other factors which you feel should require further study through a risk analysis?
No X (Go to 6)
6. If there are no TN's in the LTEC Design column on the right, proceed with the design, selecting the lowest acceptable grade line and the smallest waterway opening consistent with the constraints imposed on the project. The risk assessment has demonstrated that potential flood damage costs, traffic related costs, roadway and/or structure repair costs are minor and therefore disregarded for this project.

One or more TN's in the LTEC Design column indicates further analysis in the category checked may be required utilizing the LTEC Design process or justification why it is not required.

Justification: _____

Risk Assessment by: *Dan Krocheski*
Printed Name: Daniel Krocheski, P.E.
License Number: 45779
Date: 1/11/17